

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/720,806	Applicant(s) DOVNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Victor K. Hwang	Art Unit 3764	

All Participants:

(1) Victor K. Hwang.

(2) John A. Hamilton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

Cayne & Speyer

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for Examiner's amendment to correct various typographical errors and to distinguish that the adjustment means is a strap adjustment means, different from the tubular adjustment means of Cayne shown in Figs. 1-3.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)